

$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ Diagonalizable?

Crucial Notion

Eigenpairs (λ, φ)

$\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t.

$$\boxed{A\varphi = \lambda\varphi}$$

$\varphi \neq \mathbf{0}_n$

λ : Eigenvalue

φ : Eigenvector corresp. to λ

For diagonalizability of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

We need n eigenvectors

$$(\lambda_1, \varphi_1), (\lambda_2, \varphi_2), \dots, (\lambda_n, \varphi_n)$$

s.t. $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n$ are l.i

Note:- $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ need
not be distinct.

Examples:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 3 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$$

$$\lambda_1 = 4, \quad \varphi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A \varphi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 3 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = 4 \varphi_1$$

φ_1 is an eigenvector corresp.

to eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 4$

(λ_1, φ_1) i.e. $(4, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix})$ is an
eigenpair for A

Similarly we can verify that
if $\lambda_2 = 2$ and $\phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\text{then } A\phi_2 = 2\phi_2 = \lambda_2\phi_2$$

$\therefore (2, \phi_2)$ i.e. $\left(2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ is

an eigenpair for A

Similarly we can also verify

$$\lambda_3 = -2, \quad \phi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ satisfy}$$

$$A\phi_3 = -2\phi_3 = \lambda_3\phi_3$$

$\therefore (\lambda_3, \varphi_3)$ i.e. $(-2, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix})$ is an
eigenpair for A

We have three eigenpairs
 (λ_1, φ_1) , (λ_2, φ_2) , (λ_3, φ_3)
are eigenpairs for A

$$\varphi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are clearly l.i.

Since A has 3 eigenpairs

$$(\lambda_1, \varphi_1), (\lambda_2, \varphi_2), (\lambda_3, \varphi_3)$$

where $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$ are l.i.,

we have A is diagonalizable over \mathbb{R}

We construct

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 & \varphi_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then P is invertible and

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\lambda_1 = 4, \quad \varphi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ verify } A\varphi_1 = \lambda_1\varphi_1 = 4\varphi_1$$

$$\lambda_2 = 4, \quad \varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ verify } A\varphi_2 = 4\varphi_2 = \lambda_2\varphi_2$$

$$\lambda_3 = 2 ; \varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ verify } A\varphi_3 = 2\varphi_3 = \lambda_3\varphi_3$$

\therefore We have three eigenpairs
 $(4, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}), (4, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}), (2, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix})$

and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are l.i

\therefore A is diagonalizable

Construct $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

P is invertible and

$$P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Summarize

If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has n

eigenpairs (λ_j, φ_j) , $j=1, 2, \dots, n$

where $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are l.i.
 $\in \mathbb{R}^n$

then A is diagonalizable.

Question: Where^{do} we look for these
eigenpairs?

Eigenvalues

{ Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$
 $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of A }

$\Rightarrow \exists u \in \mathbb{R}^n, u \neq \theta_n$ s.t. $Au = \lambda u$

$\Rightarrow (\lambda I - A)u = \theta_n, (u \neq \theta_n)$

$$\Rightarrow A_\lambda u = \theta_n, (u \neq \theta_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{The system } A_\lambda x = \theta_n \leftarrow (A_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$$

has a nontrivial sol. u

$$\Rightarrow A_\lambda \text{ is not invertible}$$

$$\Rightarrow \det(A_\lambda) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \det(\lambda I - A) = 0$$

Conclusion:

$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

$\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of A |
 $\implies \det(\lambda I - A) = 0$ |

Conversely

Suppose $\det(\lambda I - A) = 0$

$\implies (\lambda I - A)$ is not invertible

\implies The system
 $(\lambda I - A)x = 0_n$

must have a nontrivial sol
 $u \in \mathbb{R}^n, (u \neq 0_n)$

$$\Rightarrow Au = \lambda u$$

$\Rightarrow \lambda$ is an eigenvalue
(with eigenvector u)

Conclusion 2

$\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \det(\lambda I - A) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda$ is an
eigenvalue of A

Result (Theorem)

$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}; \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

λ eigenvalue of A

$$\iff \det(\lambda I - A) = 0$$

Therefore We must look for the
eigenvalues as the roots
of the fun.

$$\underline{D(\lambda)} = \underline{\underline{\det(\lambda I - A)}}$$

So the search^{for eigenvalues} depends on our analysis of this fun

$$D(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$$

$$\det(\lambda I - A) = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - a_{11} & -a_{12} & \dots & -a_{1n} \\ -a_{21} & \lambda - a_{22} & \dots & -a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -a_{n1} & -a_{n2} & \dots & \lambda - a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}$$

This is

i) a polynomial in λ ,

- 2) with real coefficients,
- 3) of degree n ,
- 4) with leading coefficient as ± 1
("monic")

This polynomial is called the
CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIAL
of A and denoted by $C_A(\lambda)$

$C_A(\lambda)$ is a monic poly
of degree n with real
coefficients

The eigenvalues of A are the (real)
roots of this polynomial

The equation $C_A(\lambda) = 0$

is called the characteristic
equation of A

Example:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 3 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - 1 & 3 & -3 \\ 2 & \lambda & -2 \\ -1 & 1 & \lambda - 3 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (\lambda - 4)(\lambda - 2)(\lambda + 2) \rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{monic} \\ \text{poly of degree 3} \\ \text{with real} \\ \text{coeffs} \end{cases}$$

Therefore the roots are

$$\lambda_1 = 4, \quad \lambda_2 = 2, \quad \lambda_3 = -2$$

Example 2

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C_A(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - A)$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - 3 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & \lambda - 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda - 4 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \underbrace{(\lambda - 4)^2} \underbrace{(\lambda - 2)}$$

monic poly
of degree 3
with real
coeffs

Roots

$\lambda_1 = 4$ repeated twice

$\lambda_2 = 2$

These are the eigenvalues of A

Example $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$

$$C_A(x) = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ -1 & \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \lambda^2 + 1$$

Has no real roots

\therefore No real eigenvalues

\therefore No eigenpairs

\therefore No diagonalizability over \mathbb{R}

Even though the ^{above} matrix is real
it does not have any real
eigenvalues.

This difficulty arises since
we are seeking eigenvalues for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$
as roots of a polynomial with
real coefficients. But in general
a polynomial of degree n with

Real coefficients,

i) may not have real roots or

ii) if it has real roots it
may not have n real roots

In order to avoid this difficulty
we shall allow complex eigenvalues
and hence complex eigenvectors if
necessary.

Therefore we look at the problem
as that of diagonalizing $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$

over \mathbb{C} i.e. to find
 $P \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, invertible

s.t. $P^{-1}AP = D$, a diagonal
matrix in $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$

What is the advantage?

Now we get to treat
 $C_A(\lambda)$

as a ^{monic} polynomial of degree n
with complex coefficients.

By Fundamental Theorem of
Algebra, $C_A(\lambda)$ will have n

roots (Complex), (some of them may be repeated)

If in particular A is real the complex roots will appear in conjugate pairs

Hence we will be able to

"successfully" search for n eigenvalues of A as the n roots of the characteristic polynomial

Remark If we allow complex roots

$$C_A(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_1)^{a_1} (\lambda - \lambda_2)^{a_2} \dots (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{a_k}$$

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ dist roots
 a_1, \dots, a_k repetitions